

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,567	03/21/2002	John T. Farnsworth	112701-331	8777	
29157	7590 01/18/2005	•	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			DEL SOLE, JOSEPH S		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cincado, i	L 00070-1133		1722	1722	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/200	DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>D</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/030,567	FARNSWORTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) danged will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2004.					
· _ ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-13,19 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,17,18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	= * *	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	(
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receivau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, «кол. пррповион (г 10-192)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmes et al. (4,564,350).

Holmes et al teach a die plate (Fig 4, #38) for an extrusion apparatus, the die plate having first coupling means (Fig 4) for coupling the die plate on a first side thereof to an extruder defining a longitudinal axis (Fig 4, #60); apertures (Fig 4, #39), through which extrudate is received from the extruder and extruded for cutting into predetermined lengths by a cutter assembly (Fig 4, #38 and #50, the cutter assembly is interpreted to include the die plate because the pellets are cut against the surface of the die plate) disposable on the longitudinal axis, the cutter assembly having a motor (Fig 1, #54) for rotating a cutter transversely to the longitudinal axis into the path of movement of extrudate so as to sever the extrudate in use; the die plate having second coupling means for coupling the die plate on a second side thereof to the cutter assembly (Fig 4); a fluid inlet passage (Fig 3, #116) for receiving fluid into the die plate for deliver to the cutter assembly in use, and a fluid outlet passage (Fig 3, #118) for receiving fluid from the cutter assembly for discharge from the die plate.

Art Unit: 1722

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dudley (4,123,207).

Dudley teaches a die plate (Fig 4, #801) for an extrusion apparatus, the die plate having first coupling means (Fig 4) for coupling the die plate on a first side thereof to an extruder defining a longitudinal axis (Fig 4, #400); apertures (Fig 4), through which extrudate is received from the extruder and extruded for cutting into predetermined lengths by a cutter assembly (Fig 4, at #812, the cutter assembly is interpreted to include the die plate because the pellets are cut against the surface of the die plate) disposable on the longitudinal axis, the cutter assembly having a motor (col 4, lines 38-44) for rotating a cutter transversely to the longitudinal axis into the path of movement of extrudate so as to sever the extrudate in use; the die plate having second coupling means for coupling the die plate on a second side thereof to the cutter assembly (Fig 4); a fluid inlet passage (Fig 3, #404) for receiving fluid into the die plate for deliver to the cutter assembly in use, and a fluid outlet passage (Fig 3, #406) for receiving fluid from the cutter assembly for discharge from the die plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1722

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes et al. (4,564,350) in view of Guggiari (5,110,523).

Holmes et al teach a die plate (Fig 4, #38) for an extrusion apparatus, the die plate having first coupling means (Fig 4) for coupling the die plate on a first side thereof to an extruder defining a longitudinal axis (Fig 4, #60); apertures (Fig 4, #39), through which extrudate is received from the extruder and extruded for cutting into predetermined lengths by a cutter assembly (Fig 4, #38 and #50, the cutter assembly is interpreted to include the die plate because the pellets are cut against the surface of the

Art Unit: 1722

die plate) disposable on the longitudinal axis, the cutter assembly having a motor (Fig 1, #54) for rotating a cutter transversely to the longitudinal axis into the path of movement of extrudate so as to sever the extrudate in use; the die plate having second coupling means for coupling the die plate on a second side thereof to the cutter assembly (Fig 4); a fluid inlet passage (Fig 3, #116) for receiving fluid into the die plate for deliver to the cutter assembly in use, and a fluid outlet passage (Fig 3, #118) for receiving fluid from the cutter assembly for discharge from the die plate; the die plate has a peripheral edge adjoining the first and second sides, the fluid inlet passage and fluid outlet passage each having a radial portion extending radially through the peripheral edge toward a central area of the die plate where each passage terminates in a respective longitudinal portion extending through the second side of the die plate (Figs 3 and 4); including thermal insulation means between the fluid inlet and outlet passages and the extrudate apertures (Fig 6); the thermal insulation means has a gap into which a gas may enter (Fig 4).

Page 5

Holmes et al. fails to teach the motor being a fluid driven motor.

Guggiari teaches a hydraulic (fluid driven) motor for the purposes of operating a cutter in an extrusion apparatus (col 3, lines 45-58).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Holmes et al. with a motor driven cutter wherein the motor is hydraulic as taught by Guggiari because it facilitates controlling and keeping constant at a predetermined value the contact pressure of cutting elements against a die (col 1, lines 8-14 and col 4, lines 3-19).

Art Unit: 1722

8. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudley (4,123,207) in view of Guggiari (5,110,523).

Dudley teaches a die plate (Fig 4, #801) for an extrusion apparatus, the die plate having first coupling means (Fig 4) for coupling the die plate on a first side thereof to an extruder defining a longitudinal axis (Fig 4, #400); apertures (Fig 4), through which extrudate is received from the extruder and extruded for cutting into predetermined lengths by a cutter assembly (Fig 4, at #812, the cutter assembly is interpreted to include the die plate because the pellets are cut against the surface of the die plate) disposable on the longitudinal axis, the cutter assembly having a motor (col 4, lines 38-44) for rotating a cutter transversely to the longitudinal axis into the path of movement of extrudate so as to sever the extrudate in use; the die plate having second coupling means for coupling the die plate on a second side thereof to the cutter assembly (Fig 4); a fluid inlet passage (Fig 3, #404) for receiving fluid into the die plate for deliver to the cutter assembly in use, and a fluid outlet passage (Fig 3, #406) for receiving fluid from the cutter assembly for discharge from the die plate; the die plate has a peripheral edge adjoining the first and second sides, the fluid inlet passage and fluid outlet passage each having a radial portion extending radially through the peripheral edge toward a central area of the die plate where each passage terminates in a respective longitudinal portion extending through the second side of the die plate (Figs 3 and 4); including thermal insulation means between the fluid inlet and outlet passages and the extrudate apertures (Fig 4); the thermal insulation means has a gap into which a gas may enter (Fig 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/030,567

Art Unit: 1722

Dudley fails to teach the motor being a fluid driven motor

Guggiari teaches a hydraulic (fluid driven) motor for the purposes of operating a cutter in an extrusion apparatus (col 3, lines 45-58).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Dudley with a motor driven cutter wherein the motor is hydraulic as taught by Guggiari because it facilitates controlling and keeping constant at a predetermined value the contact pressure of cutting elements against a die (col 1, lines 8-14 and col 4, lines 3-19).

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes et al. (4,564,350) and Guggiari (5,110,523) in view of Meakin (2,764,952).

Holmes et al. teach the apparatus as discussed above and also teaches the first coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate (Fig 4).

Holmes et al. fail to teach the second coupling means having an opening for receiving a respective fastener through the die plate.

Meakin teaches a second coupling means having an opening (Fig 1, the opening though which #29 projects) for the purpose of receiving a respective fastener (Fig 1, #29) through the die plate (Fig 1, #s 9 and 21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Holmes et al with a coupling means having an opening for receiving a fastener through a die plate as taught by **Art Unit: 1722**

Meakin because it enables a closer consolidation of the parts of the apparatus such that the mechanism for rotating the cutters is within the extruder casing, not outside of it.

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudley (4,123,207) and Guggiari (5,110,523) in view of Meakin (2,764,952).

Dudley teaches the apparatus as discussed above and also teaches the first coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate (Fig 3, #825).

Dudley fails to teach the second coupling means having an opening for receiving a respective fastener through the die plate.

Meakin teaches a second coupling means having an opening (Fig 1, the opening though which #29 projects) for the purpose of receiving a respective fastener (Fig 1, #29) through the die plate (Fig 1, #s 9 and 21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Dudley with a coupling means having an opening for receiving a fastener through a die plate as taught by Meakin because it enables a closer consolidation of the parts of the apparatus such that the mechanism for rotating the cutters is within the extruder casing, not outside of it.

11. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes et al. (4,564,350) in view of Meakin (2,764,952).

Holmes et al. teach the apparatus as discussed above and also teaches the first coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate (Fig 4)

Application/Control Number: 10/030,567

Art Unit: 1722

Holmes et al. fails to teach the second coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate.

Meakin teaches a second coupling means having an opening (Fig 1, the opening though which #29 projects) for the purpose of receiving a respective fastener (Fig 1, #29) through the die plate (Fig 1, #s 9 and 21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Holmes et al with a coupling means having an opening for receiving a fastener through a die plate as taught by Meakin because it enables a closer consolidation of the parts of the apparatus such that the mechanism for rotating the cutters is within the extruder casing, not outside of it.

12. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudley (4,123,207) in view of Meakin (2,764,952).

Dudley teaches the apparatus as discussed above and also teaches the first coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate (Fig 4, #825)

Dudley fails to teach the second coupling means having a plurality of mounting openings for receiving respective fasteners through the die plate.

Meakin teaches a second coupling means having an opening (Fig 1, the opening though which #29 projects) for the purpose of receiving a respective fastener (Fig 1, #29) through the die plate (Fig 1, #s 9 and 21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the invention of Dudley with a coupling means

Art Unit: 1722

having an opening for receiving a fastener through a die plate as taught by Meakin because it enables a closer consolidation of the parts of the apparatus such that the mechanism for rotating the cutters is within the extruder casing, not outside of it.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 6-13, 19 and 21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Examiner acknowledges that the newly submitted abstract is proper and that the claims are now in compliant format.

The rejection over Holmes has been reconsidered, but new attention to features 116 and 118 shows that the die plate clearly has a fluid inlet and a fluid outlet. This action is non-final.

The Applicant argues that Dudley fails to disclose a die plate having a fluid inlet passage and a fluid outlet passage because referenced features 404 and 406 refer to a single passage.

The examiner disagrees. While the flow does move continuously from 404 through the die plate and to 406, these never-the-less represent the inlet and outlet of the die plate respectively. Features 404 and 406 are distinct because one provides flow to the die plate (404, the inlet) and one takes flow from the die plate (406, the outlet).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Del Sole whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1722

272-1130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Benjamin Utech, can be reached at (571) 272-1137. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for both non-after finals and for after finals.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from the either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Sill Sore

January 13, 2005